

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/040,621             | LIM ET AL.          |  |

|                 |                 |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| <b>Examiner</b> | <b>Art Unit</b> |  |
| Yubin Hung.     | 2625            |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Yubin Hung.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Natalya Dvorson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2006
**Time:** 15:20
**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

## Claims discussed:


16, 17, 19, 25, 33

## Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Typographical errors in the claims as well as proposed change to claim 33 to overcome 35 USC 112 issues were discussed.*
**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
BHAVESH M. MEHTA  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000



(Examiner/SPE Signature)


(Assigned Person/Representative Signature – if appropriate)